

# *Needs and Recommendations on Interoperability*



**Brian M. McKeon**

Vice President, Command & Control/Battle Management,  
Raytheon Command, Control, Communication & Information Systems

# *Introduction*



- Interoperability Challenges
- Potential Improvements
- Summary

# *Interoperability Challenges*



- Technical Challenges
  - Acquisition and procurement is of systems, not interoperability
  - Hardware dependence
  - Requirements subject to interpretation
  - Limited early interoperability validation
  - Interoperability testing tied to standards and practices not always fully captured
  - Coalition requirements limited
  - Standards changes often expensive to coordinate
  - Knowledge not fully leveraged across battlespace

# *Interoperability Challenges*



- Cost
  - Programmatic Costs for Interoperability not consistent
  - Single program focused
  - Funding for Coalition Interoperability
- Schedule
  - Testing agency assets and schedules
  - Coupling between programs in current paradigm still an issue

# *Potential Solutions - Technical*



## Challenge

- Hardware dependence

## Improvement

- Architectures that support isolation from hardware and enable spiral development
  - Java/Jini/CORBA
  - Software Radios

# *Potential Solutions - Technical (Cont)*

## Challenge

- Requirements subject to interpretation
- Limited early interoperability validation

## Improvement

- Specification of requirements in more rigorous CASE tool formats
- More User Interaction
- Better tools, earlier in the lifecycle to support interoperability testing (e.g., Joint Synthetic Battlespace [JSB], Joint Distributed Engineering Plant[JDEP])
- Architectures that support spiral testing

# *Potential Solutions - Technical (Cont)*

## Challenge

- Interoperability testing tied to standards and practices not always fully captured
- Coalition requirements limited

## Improvement

- Improved Test environments (e.g., JSB, JDEP) to accurately and adequately test avoiding a “blocking” phenomenon
- Requires meta-data approaches
- Must leverage US interoperability
- Significant MLS requirements

# *Potential Solutions - Technical (Cont)*

## Challenge

- Standards changes require significant coordination
- Knowledge not fully leveraged across battlespace

## Improvement

- Interoperability  
Standards that use meta data and quality of service (QOS) paradigms
- Net Centric Warfare enabled by Global Information Grid to reduce ambiguity (e.g JCTN/SIAP) and provide improved CID
- QOS



# Potential Solutions - Cost

## Challenge

- Programmatic Costs for Interoperability not consistent and single program focused
- Funding for Coalition Interoperability

## Improvement

- Develop interoperability cost guidelines and metrics and incorporate in source selections
- Establish coalition interoperability environments to reduce cost and then incorporate in program plans

# *Potential Solutions - Schedule (Cont)*

## Challenge

- Testing agency assets and schedules
- Coupling between programs in current paradigm still an issue

## Improvement

- Leverage technical improvements to mitigate impact and decouple blocking

# *Summary*



- Technical issues are being addressed and can overcome challenges
- Continued improvement in programmatic cost estimating is needed
- Technical advancements can reduce scheduling complexity and programmatic risk